(ग) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण है और सरकार डी0डी0ए के दूध की आपूर्ति किस प्रकार से सुनिश्चित करेगी?

कृषि मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री सोमपाल): (क) आनन्द विहार कालोनी में दूध की सप्लाई डिपो संख्याअ 1493 और 1494 से प्रथम आओ प्रथम पाओ आधार पर की जा रही है ताकि वितरण निष्पक्ष और बराबर हो।

(ख) और (घ) सरकार दिल्ली दुग्ध योजना से नया डिपो खोलने के लिए कहेगी।

Losses due to heavy rains and cyclone in Gujarat

1694. SHRI BRAHMAKUMAR BHATT: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Gujarat was badly affected by the heavy rains and cyclone during June and July, 1997 causing loss of life of men and animals besides properties and standing crops;

(b) if so, the details thereof separately; and

(c) the assistance provided by Government district-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SOMPAL): (a) to (c) As per information received from the Government of Gujarat, the heavy rains and floods in June, 1997 affected some districts of the State causing extensive damage public properties. It causes damage to 142819 nouses and affected cropped area of 1.98 lakh hectares, besides loss of 285 human lives and 5788 animals. In this connection, the State Government submitted a memorandum seeking assistance of Rs. 664.33 crore from the National fund for Calamity Relief (NFCR) for relief and rehabilitation measures. A Central Team visited the affected areas in the State in July, 1997 to assess the extent of damage and requirement of [eliei and rehabilitation. On the basis of the report submitted by the Team, an assistance of Rs. 86.90 crore was released to the State from the NFCR in August, 1997. District-wise assistance is not released by the Government of India.

Mango Production

1695. SHRI GOVINDRAO ADIK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated mango production this season, State-wise;

(b) how does it compare with the mango yields for the preceding two years;

(c) the quantity and value of mango exported during the past five years;

(d) the specific steps initiated for production of export quality mangoes during the past five years and results achieved thereunder;

(c) the details of fresh package of incentives proposed for encouraging mango cultivation for export/processing purposes and agenda for action during 1998-99 with State-wise targets; and

(f) the details of steps initiated for effective coordination between various Government agencies to boost up production and exports of mango and other fruits?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SOMPAL): (a) and (b) The available information of area, production and yield of mango during the last three years is given at statement-I *(see* below). The expected yield during the current year is low as compared to the yield during the past two years:—

(c) The details of export of mango is as follows:—

Year	(M.	Quantity Value Tonnes) (Rs. in lakh)
1992-93	25.850	4.599
1993-94	22,794	4,387
1994-95	25,414	4,503
1995-96	22,269	3.852
1996-97	24,773	4.487

(d) A number of steps have been initiated for the production of export quality mangoes. These steps include the following:—

(i) Identification of the mango variety and reasons for giving exclusive training to the farmers/exporters, State Government organisations involved in the exports of mangoes.

(ii) Organising pre-harvest as well as post harvest training.

(iii) Development of manuals for pre-harvest and post harvest management as well as packaging of fruits.

(iv) Organising specific training programmes.

(v) Promote exports through mango Festivals.

(e) The steps proposed for 1998-99 include the following:—

(i) Controlled Atmosphere Transportation Experiment is being conducted to encourage exports by sea so that mango can be preserved for longer duration.

(ii) It is proposed to provide increased assistance to the farmers/exporter under the scheme for Infrastructure Development being operated by the Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority.

(iii) Scheme for quality control is being implemented in right earnest to allow exporters to install latest ISO 9000 equipments at exports units.

(iv) The Central Sector Scheme of Development of Tropical, Temperate and Arid Zone Fruits is pro-posed to be implemented with enhanced oulay during 1998-99. The scheme includes a number of components such as area expansion, improving productivity through rejuvenation, organising training, establishing nurseries and publicity measures for the development of fruits including mango in the country. The State-wise financial target is given at Statement-II *(see* below).

(f) Efforts are being made for frequent interaction between the various Government agencies through meetings and workshops at the national as well as State level. Besides, the- monitoring has been stepped up by the Ministry of Agriculture for assessing the various stages of growth of the crops including mango.

Statement I

Yield of Mango in different States

SI.No.	State	Area (Ha.)			Production (Tonnes)			Yield (Kg/Ha.)		
		1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
1.	Andhra Pradesh	263681	271360	272565	3164172	3256320	Not yet assessed	12000.0	12000.0	Not yet assessed
2.	Bihar	151735	153236	154836	910410	1879124	Negli-gible	6000.0	12262.9	Negli-gible
3	Gujarat	45000	47200	49983	36000	472100	249615	800.0	10002.1	4994.0
4	Haryana	5704	5920	6000	24750	25000	28500	4339.1	4223.0	4750.0
5	Himachal Pradesh	26308	27808	29308	19144	4024	13200	727.7	144.7	450.4
6	Orissa	89121	97646	108000	409435	468525	485000	4594.1	4798.2	4490.7
7	Punjab	15900	16200	16400	96000	97200	98000	6037.7	6000.0	5975.6
8	Rajasthan	7010	6929	7060	39099	52600	31500	5577.6	7591.3	4461.8
9,	Uttar Pradesh*	21601	21760	21850	71139	71630	72000	3293.3	3291.8	3295.2
10.	West Bengal	55700	55808	59308	211660	520000	190000	3800.0	9317.7	3203.6
	Total	681760	703867	725310	4981809	6846523	1167815	7307.3	9727.0	2579.4

*Information pertain to Uttar Pradesh Hills.

Statement II

Financial Target under Fruits Scheme During 1998-99

S.No. State	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
1. Andhra Pradesh	80.59
2. Arunachal Pradesh	27.25
3. Assam	15.23
4. Bihar	78.82
5. Goa	6.51
6. Gujarat	79.35
7. Haryana	32.60
8. Himachal Pradesh	42.60
9. Jammu & Kashmir	138.34
10. Karnataka	89.60
11. Kerala	62.30
12. M.P.	109.64
13. Maharashtra	131.29
14. Manipur	79.98
15. Meghalaya	12.04
16. Mizoram	11.48
17. Nagaland	60.56
18. Orissa	328.84
19. Punjab	34.79
20. Rajasthan	53.19
21. Sikkim	11.08
22. Tamil Nadu	85.21
23. Tripura	23.15
24. Uttar Pradesh	81.78
25. West Bengal	79.54
26. Andaman & Nicobar Islands	11.18
27. Chandigarh	1.00
28. Dadra & Nagar Haveli	5.32
29. Delhi	4.86
30. Daman & Diu	6.04
31. Lakshadweep	11.05
32. Pondychcrry	4.84
Total	1800.05

Houses for Fishermen

1696. SHRI V. KISHORE CHANDRA S. DEO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any scheme for making available houses for fishermen;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government would take prompt action on representations received in this regard from NGOs and social workers, etc.; and

(d) the time frame required for processing such applications?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SOMPAL): (a) and (b) Yes Sir. The Development of Model Fishermen Villages Component of the National Welfare of Fishermen scheme provides for construction of houses for fishermen at a cost not exceeding Rs. 35,000/- per house. The cost of construction is shared equally by the Central Government and the State Government. In the case of Union Territories, the entire cost of construction is met by the Central Government.

(c) and (d) Since selection of villages for construction of houses as well as construction of houses under the scheme is the responsibility of the State Government, any representation received either from NGOs or social workers in this regard is immediately forwarded to the State Government for prompt action. No time frame has been prescribed for processing such applications.

Problems of Fishermen

1697. SHRI V. KISHORE CHANDRA S. DEO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have separate division to deal with problems of fishermen in the country;

(b) whether it is a fact that Government are keen to provide houses to fishermen along the coastal States like Andhra Pradesh;

(c) whether technical experts are available with Government to advise fisher-mens' societies in Srikikulam and other coastal districts like Visakhapatnam, West Godavari to draw up plans for their economic development and improved living conditions; and

(d) if so, the work done thus far in these areas by technical experts?